/////

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY JUNIOR WEBSTER,	NO. CIV S-93-0306 LKK DAD DP
Petitioner,)))) DEATH PENALTY CASE
v.	
S.W. ORNOSKI, Acting Warden of the California State Prison at San Quentin,	FURTHER SCHEDULING ORDER
Respondent.	
}	

This capital habeas action came before the Court on September 26, 2007, for a status conference. Having considered the written materials submitted in connection with the status conference, and after hearing from the parties, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. The Court deems the arguments presented in the respondent's status report filed 9/25/07 to be respondent's motion for reconsideration of the discovery order filed on May 22, 2007 (Docket # 336), based upon trial counsel's deposition testimony. Having considered respondent's request as a request for reconsideration, the request for reconsideration is denied for reasons set forth in the original order.

Case 2:93-cv-00306-LKK-DAD Document 357 Filed 09/27/07 Page 2 of 3

- Petitioner will be allowed to present testimony from a *Strickland*¹ expert witness in connection with the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel at penalty phase. Petitioner has until October 31, 2007, to designate the expert witness and submit testimony by declaration accompanied by copies of exhibits and any documents submitted under Rule 7 of the Rules Governing § 2254 cases.
 Respondent shall give notice within 14 days of the filing of the designation and declaration of
- 3. Respondent shall give notice within 14 days of the filing of the designation and declaration of petitioner's *Strickland* expert witness whether respondent intends to designate a rebuttal expert witness. Should respondent intend to designate a rebuttal expert witness, the parties are directed to confer and attempt to work out a schedule for the submission of the rebuttal expert's testimony.
- 4. The deposition of petitioner's *Strickland* expert witness shall be completed by December 31, 2007.
- 5. The parties shall submit briefing on the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel at the penalty phase according to the following schedule: Petitioner shall submit opening briefing by February 15, 2008; Respondent shall submit briefing by March 17, 2008; any reply briefing by petitioner shall be submitted by April 1, 2008.
- 6. In all other respects, this Court's scheduling order of August 2, 2007 (Docket # 351), remains in effect.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: September 26, 2007.

Ddad1/orders.capital/webster0306.furschedord

¹Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668 (1984).

Case 2:93-cv-00306-LKK-DAD Document 357 Filed 09/27/07 Page 3 of 3

1	submitted by: DANIEL J. BRODERICK, State Bar No. 89424
2	Federal Defender
3	/s/ Timothy J. Foley TIMOTHY J. FOLEY, State Bar No. 111558
4	Assistant Federal Defender 801 I Street, 3rd Floor
5	Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 498-6666
6	JAMES S. THOMSON, State Bar No. 79658
7	819 Delaware Street
8	Berkeley, California 94710 (510) 525-9123 Attorneys for Petitioner
9	7 ttorneys for 1 entioner
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	